

Appendix B12: Forecasting methodology (SAMS model).

Dvora Hart, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, MA.

The model presented here is a version of the SAMS (Scallop Area Management Simulator) model used to project sea scallop abundance and landings as an aid to managers since 1999. Subareas were chosen to coincide with current management. In particular, Georges Bank was divided into four open areas (two portions of the South Channel, Northern Edge and Peak, and Southeast Part), the three access portions of the groundfish closures, and the three no access portions of these areas. The Mid-Atlantic was subdivided into six areas: Virginia Beach, Delmarva, the Elephant Trunk Closed Area, the Hudson Canyon South Access Area, New York Bight, and Long Island.

Methods

The model tracks population vectors $\mathbf{p}(i,t) = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, where $p_j(i,t)$ represents the density of scallops in the j th size class in area i at time t . The model uses a difference equation approach, where time is partitioned into discrete time steps t_1, t_2, \dots , with a time step of length $\Delta t = t_{k+1} - t_k$. The landings vector $\mathbf{h}(i,t_k)$ represents the catch at each size class in the i th region and k th time step. It is calculated as:

$$h(i,t_k) = [I - \exp(\Delta t H(i,t_k))] p(i,t_k),$$

where I is the identity matrix and H is a diagonal matrix whose j th diagonal entry h_{jj} is given by:

$$h_{jj} = 1/(1 + \exp(s_0 - s_1 * s))$$

where s is the shell height of the mid-point of the size-class.

The landings $L(i,t_k)$ for the i th region and k th time step are calculated using the dot product of landings vector $\mathbf{h}(i,t_k)$ with the vector $\mathbf{m}(i)$ representing the vector of meat weights at shell height for the i th region:

$$L(i,t_k) = A_i \mathbf{h}(i,t_k) \bullet \mathbf{m}(i) / (w e_i)$$

where e_i represents the dredge efficiency in the i th region, and w is the tow path area of the survey dredge (estimated as $8/6076 \text{ nm}^2$).

Even in the areas not under special area management, fishing mortalities tend not to be spatially uniform due to the sessile nature of sea scallops (Hart 2001). Fishing mortalities in open areas were determined by a simple “fleet dynamics model” that estimates fishing mortalities in open areas based on area-specific exploitable biomasses, and so that the overall DAS or open-area F matches the target. Based on these ideas, the fishing mortality F_i in the i th region is modeled as:

$$F_i = \mathbf{k} * \mathbf{f}_i * \mathbf{B}_i$$

where B_i is the exploitable biomass in the i th region, f_i is an area-specific adjustment factor to take into account preferences for certain fishing grounds (due to lower costs, shorter steam times, ease of fishing, habitual preferences, etc.), and k is a constant adjusted so that the total DAS or fishing mortality meets its target. For these simulations, $f_i = 1$ for all areas.

Scallops of shell height less than a minimum size s_d are assumed to be discarded, and suffer a discard mortality rate of d . Discard mortality was estimated in NEFSC (2004) to be 20%. There is also evidence that some scallops not actually landed may suffer mortality due to incidental damage from the dredge. Let F_L be the landed fishing mortality rate and F_I be the rate of incidental mortality. For Georges Bank, which is a mix of sandy and hard bottom, we used $F_I = 0.2F_L$. For the Mid-Atlantic (almost all sand), we used $F_I = 0.1F_L$.

Growth in each subarea was specified by a growth transition matrix G , based on area-specific growth increment data. Recruitment was modeled stochastically, and was assumed to be log-normal in each subarea. The mean, variance and covariance of the recruitment in a subarea was set to be equal to that observed in the historical time-series between 1979-2008. New recruits enter the first size bin at each time step at a rate r_i depending on the subarea i , and stochastically on the year. These simulations assume that recruitment is a stationary process, i.e., no stock-recruitment relationship is assumed. This may underestimate recruitment in the Mid-Atlantic if the recent strong recruitment there are due to a stock-recruit relationship.

The population dynamics of the scallops in the present model can be summarized in the equation:

$$p(i, t_{k+1}) = \rho_i + G \exp(-M\Delta t H) p(i, t_k),$$

where ρ_i is a random variable representing recruitment in the i th area. The model was run with 10 time steps per year. The population and harvest vectors are converted into biomass by using the shell-height meat-weight relationship:

$$W = \exp[a + b \ln(s)],$$

where W is the meat weight of a scallop of shell height s . For calculating biomass, the shell height of a size class was taken as its midpoint.

Commercial landing rates (LPUE, landed meat weight per day) were estimated using an empirical function based on the observed relationship between annual landing rates, expressed as number caught per day (NLPUE) and survey exploitable numbers per tow. At low biomass levels, NLPUE increases roughly linearly with survey abundance. However, at high abundance levels, the catch rate of the gear will exceed that which can be shucked by a seven-man crew. This is similar to the situation in predator/prey theory, where a predator's consumption rate is limited by the time required to handle and consume its prey (Holling 1959). The original Holling Type-II predator-prey model assumes that handling and foraging occur sequentially. It predicts that the per-capita predation rate R will be a function of prey abundance N according to a Monod functional response:

$$R = \frac{\alpha N}{\beta + N},$$

where α and β are constants. In the scallop fishery, however, some handling (shucking) can occur while foraging (fishing), though at a reduced rate because the captain and one or two crew members need to break off shucking to steer the vessel during towing and to handle the gear during haulback.

The fact that a considerable amount of handling can occur at the same time as foraging means that the functional response of a scallop vessel will saturate quicker than predicted by the above equation. To account for this, a modified Holling Type-II model was used, so that the landings (in numbers of scallops) per unit effort (DAS) L (the predation rate, i.e., NLPUE) will depend on scallop (prey) exploitable numbers N according to the formula:

$$L = \frac{\alpha N}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + N^2}}.$$

The parameters α and β to this model were fit to the observed fleet-wide LPUE vs. exploitable biomass relationship during the years 1994-2004 (previous years were not used because of the change from port interviews to logbook reporting). The number of scallops that can be shucked should be nearly independent of size provided that the scallops being shucked are smaller than about a 20 count. The time to shuck a large scallop will go up modestly with size. To model this, if the mean meat weight of the scallops caught, g , in an area is more than 20 g, the parameters α and β in the above equation are reduced by a factor $\sqrt{20/g}$. This means, for example, that a crew could shuck fewer 10 count scallops per hour than 20 count scallops in terms of numbers, but more in terms of weight.

An estimate of the fishing mortality imposed in an area by a single DAS of fishing in that area can be obtained from the formula $F_{DAS} = L_a/N_a$, where L_a is the NLPUE in that area obtained as above, and N_a is the exploitable abundance (expressed as absolute numbers of scallops) in that area. This allows for conversion between units of DAS and fishing mortality.

Initial conditions for the population vector $\mathbf{p}(i,t)$ were estimated using the 2009 NMFS research vessel sea scallop survey, with dredge efficiency chosen so as to match the 2009 CASA biomass estimates. The initial conditions from the 2009 surveys were bootstrapped using the bootstrap model of Smith (1997), so that each simulation run had both its own stochastically determined bootstrapped initial conditions, as well as stochastic recruitment stream.