PYGMY SPERM WHALE (Kogia breviceps):
Western North Atlantic Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE
The pygmy sperm whale (Kogia breviceps) appears to be distributed worldwide in temperate to tropical waters (Caldwell and Caldwell 1989; McAlpine 2002). Sightings of these animals in the western North Atlantic occur in oceanic waters (Mullin and Fulling 2003; SEFSC unpublished data), although there are no stranding records for the east Canadian coast (Willis and Baird 1998). Pygmy sperm whales and dwarf sperm whales (K. sima) are difficult to differentiate at sea (Caldwell and Caldwell 1989, Wursig et al. 2000), and sightings of either species are often categorized as Kogia sp. Diagnostic morphological characters have been useful in distinguishing the two Kogia species (Barros and Duffield 2003; Handley 1966), thus enabling researchers to use stranding data in distributional and ecological studies. Specifically, the distance from the snout to the center of the blowhole in proportion to the animal’s total length, as well as the height of the dorsal fin in proportion to the animal’s total length, can be used to differentiate between the two Kogia species when such measurements are obtainable (Barros and Duffield 2003). Duffield et al. (2003) propose using the molecular weights of myoglobin and hemoglobin, as determined by blood or muscle tissues of stranded animals, as a quick and robust way to provide species confirmation.

Using hematological as well as stable-isotope data, Barros et al. (1998) speculated that dwarf sperm whales may have a more pelagic distribution than pygmy sperm whales, and/or dive deeper during feeding bouts. This may result in differential exposure to marine debris, collision with vessels and other anthropogenic activities between the two Kogia species.

The western North Atlantic Kogia sp. population is provisionally being considered a separate stock for management purposes, although there is currently no information to differentiate this stock from the northern Gulf of Mexico stock(s). Additional morphological, genetic and/or behavioral data are needed to provide further information on stock delineation.

POPULATION SIZE
Total numbers of pygmy sperm whales off the U.S. or Canadian Atlantic coast are unknown, although estimates from selected regions of the habitat do exist for select time periods. Because Kogia breviceps and Kogia sima are difficult to differentiate at sea, the reported abundance estimates are for both species of Kogia. The best abundance estimate for Kogia sp. is the sum of the estimates from the two 2004 U.S. Atlantic surveys, 395 animals (CV=0.40), where the estimate from the northern U.S. Atlantic is 358 (CV=0.44), and from the southern U.S. Atlantic is 37 (CV=0.75). This joint estimate is considered the best because these two surveys together have the most complete coverage of the species’ habitat.

Earlier abundance estimates
An abundance estimate of 695 (CV=0.49) Kogia sp. was obtained from the sum of the estimate of 115 (CV=0.61) Kogia sp. from a line-transect sighting survey conducted during 6 July to 6 September 1998 by a ship and plane that surveyed 15,900 km of track line in waters north of Maryland (38°N) (Palka 2006), and the estimate of 580 (CV=0.57) Kogia sp., obtained from a shipboard line-transect sighting survey conducted between 8 July and 17 August 1998 that surveyed 4,163 km of track line in waters south of Maryland (38°N) (Mullin and Fulling 2003).
Recent surveys and abundance estimates

An abundance estimate of 358 (CV= 0.44) *Kogia* sp. was obtained from a line-transect sighting survey conducted during June 12 to August 4, 2004 by a ship and plane that surveyed 10,761 km of track line in waters north of Maryland (38° N) to the Bay of Fundy (45° N) (Table 1; Palka 2006). Shipboard data were collected using the two independent team line-transect method and analyzed using the modified direct duplicate method (Palka 1995) accounting for biases due to school size and other potential covariates, reactive movements (Palka and Hammond 2001), and g(0), the probability of detecting a group on the track line. Aerial data were collected using the Hiby circle-back line-transect method (Hiby 1999) and analyzed accounting for g(0) and biases due to school size and other potential covariates (Palka 2005).

A survey of the U.S. Atlantic outer continental shelf and continental slope (water depths ≥ 50 m) between 27.5 and 38° N latitude was conducted during June-August, 2004. The survey employed two independent visual teams searching with 25x bigeye binoculars. Survey effort was stratified to include increased effort along the continental shelf break and Gulf Stream front in the Mid-Atlantic. The survey included 5,659 km of trackline, and accomplished a total of 473 cetacean sightings. Sightings were most frequent in waters north of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina along the shelf break. Data were corrected for visibility bias g(0) and group-size bias and analyzed using line-transect distance analysis (Palka 1995; Buckland et al. 2001). The resulting abundance estimate for *Kogia* sp. between Florida and Maryland was 37 animals (CV=0.75).

Table 1. Summary of abundance estimates for the western North Atlantic *Kogia* sp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>N&lt;best&gt;</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Maryland to Bay of Fundy</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Florida to Maryland</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Florida to Bay of Fundy (COMBINED)</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimum Population Estimate

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normally distributed best abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for *Kogia* sp. is 395 animals (CV=0.40). The minimum population estimate for *Kogia* sp. is 285 animals.

Current Population Trend

The available information is insufficient to evaluate population trends for this species in the western North Atlantic.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow et al. 1995).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a “recovery” factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size for *Kogia* sp. is 285. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The “recovery” factor, which accounts for endangered, depleted, threatened stocks, or stocks of unknown status relative to optimum sustainable population (OSP) is assumed to be 0.5 because this stock is of unknown status. PBR for the western North Atlantic *Kogia* sp. is 2.
ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

Fishery Information
Detailed fishery information is reported in Appendix III. Total annual estimated average fishery-related mortality and serious injury to these stocks during 2001-2005 was zero for *Kogia* sp., as there were no reports of mortality or serious injury to these species.

Earlier Interactions
No *Kogia* sp. mortalities were observed in 1977-1991 foreign fishing activities.

Pelagic Longline
Between 1992 and 2005, 1 *Kogia* sp. was hooked, released alive and considered seriously injured in 2000 (Yeung 2001).

Other Mortality
No pygmy sperm whales were reported to strand in Nova Scotia from 1990-2005 (T. Wimmer, Nova Scotia Marine Animal Response Society, pers. comm.). From 2001-2005, 51 pygmy sperm whales were reported stranded along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 1 0</td>
<td>0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>1 0 1</td>
<td>1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1 0 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>4 0 0</td>
<td>2 5 0</td>
<td>4 5 0</td>
<td>11 10 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>1 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>2 0 0</td>
<td>0 8 0</td>
<td>0 8 0</td>
<td>3 16 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>2 0 1</td>
<td>1 10 0</td>
<td>2 3 0</td>
<td>5 13 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2 0 0</td>
<td>3 0 2</td>
<td>2 0 3</td>
<td>3 8 1</td>
<td>0 3 1</td>
<td>10 11 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>2 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>2 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>4 0 1</td>
<td>5 0 4</td>
<td>10 0 4</td>
<td>6 31 1</td>
<td>7 20 1</td>
<td>32 51 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Mid-Atlantic Offshore Small Cetacean UME, was declared when 33 small cetaceans stranded from Maryland to Georgia between July 2004 and September 2004. The species involved are generally found offshore and are not expected to strand along the coast. Fifteen pygmy sperm whales (*Kogia breviceps*) and one dwarf sperm whale (*Kogia sima*) were involved in this UME. Two pygmy sperm whales were involved in a multispecies UME in North Carolina in January of 2005 (Hohn et al. 2006). Although anthropogenic noise was not definitively implicated, the January 2005 event was associated in time and space with naval sonar activity. Potential risk to this species and others from anthropogenic noise is of concern.

There were 4 documented strandings of pygmy sperm whales along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 1999-2005 which were classified as involving fishery or human interactions - 1 in Florida in 1999, 1 in Puerto Rico in 2000, 1 in North Carolina in 2001, and 1 in Massachusetts in 2005. In one of the strandings in 2002 of a pygmy sperm whale, red plastic debris was found in the stomach along with squid beaks.

Historical stranding records (1883-1988) of pygmy sperm whales in the southeastern U.S. (Credle 1988) and strandings recorded during 1988-1997 (Barros et al. 1998) indicate that this species accounts for about 83% of all *Kogia* sp. strandings in this area. During the period 1990-October 1998, 21 pygmy sperm whale strandings occurred in the northeastern U.S. (Delaware, New Jersey, New York and Virginia), whereas 194 strandings were documented along the U.S. Atlantic coast between North Carolina and the Florida Keys in the same period. Remains of plastic
bags and other marine debris have been retrieved from the stomachs of 13 stranded pygmy sperm whales in the southeastern U.S. (Barros et al. 1990, 1998), and at least on one occasion the ingestion of plastic debris is believed to have been the cause of death. During the period 1987-1994, 1 animal had possible propeller cuts on its flukes.

Stranding data probably underestimate the extent of fishery-related mortality and serious injury because all of the marine mammals that die or are seriously injured may not wash ashore, nor will all of those that do wash ashore necessarily show signs of entanglement or other fishery-interaction. Finally, the level of technical expertise among stranding network personnel varies widely as does the ability to recognize signs of fishery interaction.

Rehabilitation challenges for Kogia sp. are numerous due to limited knowledge regarding even the basic biology of these species. Advances in recent rehabilitation success has potential implications for future release and tracking of animals at sea to potentially provide information on distribution, movements and habitat use of these species (Manire et al. 2004).

STATUS OF STOCK

The status of Kogia sp. relative to OSP in the western U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown. These species are not listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act. There is insufficient information with which to assess population trends. Total U.S. fishery-related mortality and serious injury for these stocks is less than 10% of the calculated PBR and therefore, can be considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. Average annual human-related mortality and serious injury rate does not exceed the PBR, therefore Kogia sp. are not strategic stocks.
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