A Compact, Low-cost GPS Drifter for Use in the Oceanic Nearshore Zone, Lakes and Estuaries

Johnson, D., Stocker, R., Byrne, D., Head, R., Imberger, J. and Pattiaratchi, C.
Centre for Water Research, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia
[Submitted to *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*]

ABSTRACT

The design of small, compact, low-cost GPS drifters which utilize "off the shelf" components is described. The drifters are intended for use in confined or nearshore environments over timescales of up to several days and are a low-cost alternative for applications which do not require drifters with full ocean-going capabilities.

1. Introduction

Lagrangian techniques have been widely used in the study of oceans and large lakes, both for fundamental fluid dynamics as well as for environmental problems. The data provided by current following 'drifters' are particularly valuable in observing the spatial structure of the flow field and providing a different insight into the flow dynamics than that which is obtainable from Eulerian data. Lagrangian data also allow diffusion coefficients to be estimated more realistically than with fixed current-meters (Pal et al., 1998). This is important for ecological investigations of, for example, the fate of pollutants, algal blooms, and artificial fertilization.

A comprehensive overview of the development of ocean-going Lagrangian drifters is given by Davis (1991). Early examples include the experiments of Stommel (1949) and Swallow (1955). Recent drifters use SOFAR for subsurface applications (Rossby and Webb, 1970) and satellite systems such as ARGOS for near surface applications. To date the use of Lagrangian drifters has been largely limited to the deep ocean and large lakes. Some work has been done over smaller scales, as for instance in coastal regions (Davis, 1983; List et al., 1990; George and Largier, 1996).

More recently, one of the position fixing technologies utilized in Lagrangian drifters has been the Global Positioning System (GPS) (Muzzi and McCormick, 1994; Okumura and Endoh, 1995; George and Largier, 1996). GPS is a worldwide radio-navigation system which employs a constellation of 24 satellites; up to eight are used at any time to determine the position of a receiver. Until May 2000, Selective Availability (SA) deliberately degraded the publicly available signal for military purposes and limited the accuracy to approximately 100 m. This effectively restricted the scales of motions that could be resolved. Improved position fixing was possible with differential correction, but this required a fixed base station and additional signal processing. Following the removal of SA, flow features of the order of 10 m can now be resolved with non-differential GPS.

The aim of this paper is to describe GPS drifters which are suitable for coastal, estuarine or limnological investigations over short timescales (a few hours to several days) and distances (tens of meters to several kilometers). We describe a small, simple, low-cost device, built using 'off the shelf' components, that may be more appropriate for these environments when the full capabilities of larger, more complex drifters are not required. The construction is well within the capabilities of most research groups, requiring only a minimal level of expertise and workshop facilities.

The basic design is intended for measuring currents in the surface layer and by using different types of drogue arrangements is suitable for different environments; as an example, the drogue arrangement for surf zone use is described and its performance is assessed. For subsurface applications, a slight design modification which decouples the electronics package and the antenna is used and this is also described.

2. Design of the receiver unit

The GPS receiver units, shown in figure 1, have four primary components. These are an instrument casing, a receiver/antenna system, a datalogger, and a power source. The design aims were simplicity, ease of construction and low cost. No specialist skills other than the machining of the internal instrument frame are required in the construction. While we detail specific hardware that was used, there are other options, and it is not our intention to promote a particular product.

The main casing is a 100 mm PVC sewerage pipe with standard end fittings from a hardware store, for a total length of 320 mm. This construction withstands pressure testing up to at least 40 m of seawater. The GPS receiver and the datalogger are mounted on an internal instrument frame, while a battery pack at the bottom of the casing powers the electronic components and acts as ballast, providing the unit with upright stability.

The GPS receiver is a *GARMIN GPS36* integrated receiver/antenna, which is a standard marine unit. The default device setting outputs NMEA 0183 *$GPRMC* data sentences at a frequency of 1 Hz. All initialization and satellite acquisition is carried out automatically by the receiver. However, this unit also provides a full configuration interface that can be used if required.



Figure 1: Receiver units showing the casing, battery pack and internal frame with GPS receiver/antenna and datalogger attached.

The datalogger is a *DGPS-XM Data Logger* from R. I. Keskull, Sydney. This can be wired directly to the GPS36 output through a RS232 connector. Once a good fix is obtained by the GPS36, the logger starts reading the NMEA 0183 sentences and stores position, time and date at 1 Hz. The logger can store 95200 points, equivalent to 26 hours of continuous operation. An optional operating mode can change the data recording frequency to 0.1 Hz, extending memory life to 260 hours. An LED on the logger indicates the status of the device, indicating power on/off, whether good data are being received, low memory, full memory, and low battery power. Data are downloaded from the logger via the RS232 connection to the COM port of a PC using software provided with the logger. Output data also include a status code, marking the start of each data sequence, so the logger can be used for multiple deployments without downloading operations.

Seven standard alkaline D-cells provide sufficient power for 40 hours of continuous use at 1 Hz, or at least 260 hours at 0.1 Hz. The power on/off is a reed switch latch relay that is activated with a small magnet, avoiding any penetration of the casing by a switch and enhancing the water-tight integrity of the device. The entire unit can simply be turned on and off for each individual deployment.

The total cost of components and materials is approximately US$350 per unit (at the time of writing). For a single unit, an estimated eight hours of labor at US$50 per hour puts the overall cost at around US$750. When constructing multiple units, the labor time per unit is very significantly reduced, and the total cost for ten units is US$500 each. This is very much cheaper than commercially available GPS drifters. Most commercial units have data transmission capability, the lack of which is a limitation of the design described here and makes it unsuitable for deployments over long periods and distances. While implementation of a transmission interface for the existing design is possible with minimal modifications, this introduces an additional level of complexity and cost that is contrary to the fundamental idea of the device described herein, namely low cost and ease of construction.

3. The performance of non-differential GPS

The removal of SA has greatly improved the performance of non-differential GPS. However there are still errors in the reported positions due to precision limits in hardware, satellite clock error, errors in the 'known' satellite positions, atmospheric effects on the speed of light and multipath, reflection of signals off large obstacles (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 1997). Some of these errors are white noise while others, such as the atmospheric effects, produce position errors which oscillate with a preferred frequency. A distinction is made between absolute and relative error. The absolute deviation from the true geographic position is usually not important. However the relative error which is an apparent change of position relative to an arbitrary datum which is not due to real motion (i.e. experienced by a stationary receiver) contaminates any calculations of the velocity and acceleration of a moving receiver.

The change of the position error as a function of frequency was estimated by leaving a drifter in a fixed location for 45 minutes. The power spectra of the displacement of the recorded position form the mean time averaged position is shown in figure 2. The -1.8 slope of the spectrum in the 0.01 to 0.1 Hz region is very similar to that of Nebot et al. (1998) who found a value of -2.0 for a similar test prior to the removal of SA. However, in contrast to their results, the the spectral power in the frequency region below 0.01 Hz does not show the large increase due to the effect of SA. The standard deviation of position from the mean was 1.3 m in the easting direction and 1.6 m in the northing direction. Maximum displacements from the mean position were 4.2 m and 5.2 m. Repeated tests at different locations and different times yield the same spectral shape as the one presented in figure 2.

By comparing the recorded position of a stationary drifter to that of one moving in a particular flow regime, it is possible to estimate the magnitude of error relative to true signal at any particular frequency. For a given flow measurement, the x component of the true position is $x = X - r$ where X is the recorded position and r is the position error. It is reasonable to assume that the position error, r will be uncorrelated with the true position, x at the low velocities in environmental flows. At any frequency, f, the power ratio of true signal to noise is then:

$$\mathcal{R}(f) = \frac{S_{XX}(f) - S_{rr}(f)}{S_{rr}(f)} \tag{1}$$

where S_{XX} is the spectral density obtained from the recorded position and S_{rr} is the spectral density of the error, which can be estimated from a stationary test as described above. The same applies for the y component. An indication of which frequencies can



Figure 2: Spectral density of the relative position error for a stationary drifter for 45 minutes of data. The relative position error is computed by converting the recorded positions to Universal Transverse Mercator coordinates and subtracting the average. The power spectra are averaged estimates of five overlapping sections of 512s. Each section was windowed with a Hanning window and transformed with a 512 point FFT. The log scaled spectra are not variance conserving.

be reliably sampled in a particular flow is then provided by the magnitude of $\mathcal{R}(f)$. In environmental flows for which the drifters described here are suitable, $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is generally at least 10 at the frequencies of the mesoscale features of the flow; mesoscale is defined here as $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1}L)$ where L is length scale of the environment of interest. In other words the true signal power is at least one order of magnitude larger than the error for the relevant frequencies. By low-pass filtering the recorded data, the noise (and signal) at higher frequencies is removed.

4. A design modification for subsurface applications

The drifters have been employed to measure subsurface currents between 2.5 and 8.5 m in the surface layer of Lake Kinneret (Israel). While the experimental outcomes are detailed in Stocker and Imberger (2002), the design modifications to the basic unit described above, which are applicable to a wide range of subsurface investigations, are briefly described. For subsurface applications, the factors which influence the performance of a drifter as a lagrangian current follower are wind-induced slippage and wave drag on the surface float, drag on the tether for large depths and the due to the finite size of the drogue (Murthy, 1975; Niiler et al., 1987, 1995). Niiler et al. (1995), in particular, pointed out the critical importance of the ratio between the drag area of the drogue and that surface float area, where the drag area of a component is defined as its area times its drag coefficient. They showed that for an area ratio larger than 40, wind-induced slippage is less than 1 cms^{-1} in a 10 ms^{-1} wind.

To reduce the effects of wind-induced slippage and wave drag (drag on the tether was found to be negligible for deployment in the surface layer), while keeping the size of the drogue as small as possible, we chose to put the main casing at depth with the drogue, unlike Muzzi and McCormick (1994) and Okumura and Endoh (1995). While no verification of the effective performance of the drifters was attempted, it is easy to achieve a drag area ratio of 40 or larger with relatively small drogues, due to the small size of the surface float. In Lake Kinneret we used 85 liters polyethylene buckets, having a surface area of 2250 cm^2. In still water, the submerged and emerged portions of the surface float had a surface area of 40 and 48 cm^2, respectively. The smallness of the entire device (drifter plus drogue) minimizes the 'filter-effect' (whereby the effect of motion at scales smaller than that of the drogue is 'filtered out', see Murthy (1975)) and makes this a very practical tool for use in shallow or confined water bodies, as well as for frequent deployment.

Since the GPS signal cannot travel through water, deployment at depth of the main casing implies a decoupling of receiver and antenna, the antenna having to stay above the water surface. The top plate of the internal instrument frame was modified to incorporate the antenna cable connection. A low-profile antenna (*GARMIN GA27C*, 2 cm height, 5 cm diameter) was supported at the surface by a small polystyrene half-sphere float (15 cm diameter) [1] The device showed good stability even in steep waves and the splashing and rolling of the antenna caused by waves up to 1 m high resulted in virtually no loss of data.

A telemetry system (Titley Electronics, Sydney) was used for recovery. The floats were equipped with two-stage water-proof radio transmitters (25 by 15 mm, powered by their own 3V DC battery, having frequencies around 150 MHz and a power output of 5 mW. A three-element Yagi directional-finding antenna fixes the drifter location to within 100 m, close enough for a visual fix of the float, painted in fluorescent orange spray. The 10 km detection range (for an antenna at 3 m above water level) makes this recovery system suitable for water bodies whose characteristic size is up to a few tens of kilometers. A typical retrieval time for six drifters in Lake Kinneret (10 by 20 km) was three hours. The cost of the telemetry system for six drifters is less than US$1500 (at the time of writing).

5. Deployment in the surf zone

The surf zone is a challenging environment in which to make hydrodynamic measurements. Instruments must be very robust to withstand wave breaking and there are significant difficulties in deployment and retrieval. Despite being valuable in revealing the horizontal current structure, few Lagrangian measurements have been made due to the practical difficulties.

We have used the basic drifter unit described in section **2.** in the surf zone to make Lagrangian measurements. To prevent the drifter surfing when caught in breaking waves a parachute type drogue system was attached to the standard GPS drifter unit described in section **2.** This type of drogue, shown in figure 3, opens and dramatically increases its drag when there is a differential velocity between the upper and lower part of the water column, as is the case in wave breaking. The parachute drogue also stabilizes the drifter and prevents it from rolling excessively. The drifter floats with only 2cm of the receiver casing above the water, so the effect of windage is expected to be very small.

[1] As we learned after the completion of the experiments, a whole sphere is less subject to wave drag (Niiler et al., 1987).

multaneous measurements from an upward looking ADCP. The difficulty in validation of drifters in the surf zone is that the high current speeds coupled with a high degree of spatial variability mean that the two instruments are only experiencing similar velocities for very short periods of time. At the same time however both the ADCP and the drifters require some averaging to reduce the noise to an acceptable level. In addition, because wave averaged velocities are of interest as these are normally used in the description of horizontal surf zone circulation, averaging should be over at least one peak wave period.

The data used for the validation are from a series of surf zone experiments carried out using both the drifters and the ADCP, both sampling at 1Hz. The ADCP data are averaged over all bins below the instantaneous water surface (as determined from an onboard pressure sensor). When the drifter approaches within 10m of the ADCP, the ADCP and drifter data are averaged over two peak wave periods with the averaging centered around the time of closest approach. The data from the two instruments are shown in figure 4. The agreement is reasonable given the difficulties in the validation method, with the longshore component showing better agreement than the cross-shore component. The best fit lines for the data indicate that the drifter velocities are higher than those measured with the ADCP. It is well known that surf zone wave averaged velocities have vertical variation with stronger velocities near to the surface, and the amount of shear greater in the cross-shore direction. As the drifter and drogue do not span the entire water column, drifter velocities will tend to be greater than the depth averaged flow.



Figure 4: Comparison of ADCP depth and wave averaged horizontal currents with time averaged drifter velocities. The time averaging is centered on the time of nearest approach and of length twice the peak wave period. RMS values of the difference and correlation coefficients (CC) between the drifter and depth-averaged ADCP velocities are shown on the figure.

6. Summary

We have described the design, construction and use of small compact GPS drifters. The basic drifter design has been used successfully in lakes and the surf zone with the modifications detailed above. Although not described here, the drifter units have also been used for monitoring of pollution dispersion from a recreational mooring area and a study of tidal fronts.

We believe that small GPS drifters are valuable instruments in studies of circulation and dispersion in a whole range of aquatic environments in which Lagrangian measurements are scarce. These environments include the nearshore zone, small and medium sized lakes, rivers and estuaries. In these applications, the full capabilities of more sophisticated drifters which currently exist may not be required, and very simple, low cost devices may be more appropriate.

References

Davis, R.: 1983, Oceanic property transport, lagrangian particle statistics, and their prediction. *J. Marine Res.*, **41**, 163–194.

— 1991, Lagrangian ocean studies. *An. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **23**, 43–64.

George, R. and J. Largier: 1996, Description and performance of finescale drifters for coastal and estuarine studies. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technology*, **13**, 1322–1326.

Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., H. Lichtenegger, and J. Collin: 1997, *Global Positioning System : theory and practice*. Springer-Verlag, Wien, 4th, rev. edition.

List, E., G. Gartrell, and C. Winant: 1990, Diffusion and dispersion in coastal waters. *J. Hydraulic Eng.*, **116**, 1158–1179.

Murthy, C.: 1975, Dispersion of floatables in lake currents. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **5(1)**, 193–195.

Muzzi, R. and M. McCormick: 1994, A new global positioning system drifter buoy. *J. Great Lakes Res.*, **3**, 1–4.

Nebot, E., H. Durrant Whyte, and S. Scheding: 1998, Frequency domian modeling of aided gps for vehicle navigation systems. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, **25**, 73–82.

Niiler, P., R. Davis, and H. White: 1987, Water-following characteristics of a mixed-layer drifter. *Deep Sea Res.*, **34**, 1867–1881.

Niiler, P. P., A. S. Sybrandy, K. Bi, P. M. Poulain, and D. Bitterman: 1995, Measurements of the water-following capability of holey-sock and TRISTAR drifters. *Deep Sea Res.*, **42**, 1951–1964.

Okumura, Y. and S. Endoh: 1995, Telemetry Lagrangian measurements of water movement in lake usign GPS and MCA. *Trans. Soc. Instrument and Control Eng.*, **31(9)**, 1324–1328.

Pal, K., R. Murthy, and R. Thomson: 1998, Lagrangian measurements in Lake Ontario. *J. Great Lakes Res.*, **24(3)**, 681–697.

Rossby, T. and D. Webb: 1970, Observing abyssal motions by tracking swallow floats in the SOFAR channel. *Deep Sea Res.*, **17**, 359–365.

Stocker, R. and J. Imberger: 2002, Observation of horizontal dispersion in the surface layer. (submitted). centre for water research reference ed 1626-rs. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*.

Stommel, H.: 1949, Horizontal diffusion due to oceanic turbulence. *J. Mar. Res.*, **8**, 199–225.

Swallow, J.: 1955, A neutral-buoyancy float for measuring deep currents. *Deep Sea Res.*, **3**, 74–81.